Scalable Range Locks for Scalable Address Spaces And Beyond

Alex Kogan Dave Dice Shady Issa

Oracle Labs



U. Lisboa & INESC-ID

lisboa

inesc id



Range Locks

- Conceived in parallel filesystems
- Allow concurrent access to shared resources
 - e.g.: writing to the same file



Range Locks





4E47 UDUA IAU 02CD 0000 00C 0000 0173 524 6741 4D41 000 **. 6** 504C 5445 080 4848 4858 585 9898 A8A8 A8B E8F8 F8F8 21E 0000 0EC3 000 C449 4441 547 F0ED 09C1 BD4 CBDC BB27 8A4 0CBD 9499 6FD 9BC4 FD23 37E 6 390B 8D42 55A 7981 C9BE 5F2 593E 3D3D F10 1770 0EAD CDC 357D 794B CB5 DBDD 3473 78A A2AE 5B45 5FD 4DDF 2AFA B29 ADA2 2F67 A93 A22F 6729 39C E667 0D16 838 975B 4CAC B46 5675 EBA2 2F6 63A6 9CA3 29D 3994 52CD D44

BOF

EOF

Linux kernel Scalability Bottleneck

How to get rid of mmap_sem

	Subscriptions are the lifeblood of LWN and would like to see more of it, your s LWN continues to thrive. Please visit <u>t</u> the net.	V.net. If you appreciate this contest subscription will help to ensure t his page to join up and keep LW	Lauren
By Jonathan Corbet May 8, 2019 LSFMM	The mmap_sem lock used in the memory- years, but it has proved difficult to ren management track of the 2019 Linux mmap_sem and how it might be elimina vague at best.	management subsystem has been	a known scala

Please consider subscribing to LWN

Davidlohr Bueso Sun, 04 Feb 2018 17:30:07 -0800

[PATCH v3 -tip 0/6] locking: Introduce range reader/writer lock

operations

Davidlohr Bueso Mon, 15 May 2017 02:08:54 -0700

[RFC 0/4] Replace mmap_sem by a range lock

Wed, 19 Apr 2017 05:19:10 -0700 t Dufour

ability problem for

00/64] mm: towards parallel address space



- Auxiliary red-black tree
 - Ranges sorted by starting address
- Protected by spin-lock
 - contention even for shared access





- - contention even for shared access

Our Contributions

- New design for Range locks
 - Lock-free in the common case
 - Scales up to 144 threads
- Speculative approach for VM operations in the Linux kernel
- Range locks for skip lists

- A range lock is acquired once a range is inserted into a list
 - Sorted by their starting addresses

- A range lock is acquired once a range is inserted into a list
 - Sorted by their starting addresses

- A range lock is acquired once a range is inserted into a list
 - Sorted by their starting addresses

[30-60]

- A range lock is acquired once a range is inserted into a list
 - Sorted by their starting addresses

- A range lock is acquired once a range is inserted into a list
 - Sorted by their starting addresses

We only need an extra validation step for **Read-Write semantics**

Oxffffffff

Virtual Address Space

 0×00000000

VMA 1

start: length: Access rights: **READ | WRITE**

VMA 2

start: length: Access rights: **READ | WRITE** Oxffffffff

start: length: Access rights:

NONE

0x00000000

VMA 1

start: length: Access rights: **READ | WRITE**

VMA 2

start: length: Access rights: **READ | WRITE**

mprotect(1000, 100, READ | WRITE)

mprotect(3000, 100, NONE)

VM_Operation(start, length, args..){

Acquire_mm_sem();

```
VMA = find vma(start);
```

```
// operation logic
```

```
•••
```

read_only operations

Decide if structural modification is required

•••

}

```
Release_mm_sem();
```

VM_Operation(start, length, args..){ Acquire mm sem(); VMA = find_vma(start); // operation logic ... read_only operations Decide if structural modification is required ... Release mm sem();

Traverses the red-black tree mm_rb

VM_Operation(start, length, args..){

Acquire_mm_sem();

VMA = find vma(start);

// operation logic

```
•••
```

read_only operations

Decide if structural modification is required

•••

}

```
Release_mm_sem();
```

Protect with range lock of input range

VM_Operation(start, length, args..){

Acquire_mm_sem();

Acquire RL Read(start, start+length);

VMA = find vma(start);

Release RL();

// operation logic

•••

read only operations

Decide if structural modification is required

•••

Release mm sem();

Protect with range lock of VMA range+Δ

> check if the mm_rb changed meanwhile

VM_Operation(start, length, args..){

Acquire_mm_sem();

Acquire RL Read(start, start+length);

VMA = find vma(start);

Release RL();

Acquire RL Write(VMA.start-x, VMA.end+x);

// operation logic

•••

read only operations

Decide if structural modification is required

•••

Release RL();

Release mm sem();

Acquire full range lock and retry

```
VM_Operation(start, length, args..){
Acquire mm sem();
 Acquire_RL_Read(start, start+length);
 VMA = find_vma(start);
 Release_RL();
 Acquire_RL_Write(VMA.start-x, VMA.end)+x;
 // operation logic
 ...
 read_only operations
 if structural modification is required{
     Release RL();
     Acquire RL Write(0,2<sup>63</sup>-1);
     retry();
 Release RL();
 Release mm sem();
```

23

Evaluation

- Linux kernel 4.16.0-rc2
- 4 Intel Xeon E7-8895 v3 (144 threads)
- Metis benchmark (wrmem)
- **Baselines**: \bullet
 - Stock
 - Tree-based RL (with and w/out speculation)
 - List-based RL (with and w/out speculation)

threads

Evaluation

lock stats

More in the paper...

- Evaluation:
 - More workloads
 - User-space applications
- Range Locks design
 - Fast path, avoiding starvation, memory reclamation
 - Range locks for skip lists

Scalable Range Locks for Scalable Address Spaces and Beyond

Dave Dice

Alex Kogan Oracle Labs Burlington, MA, USA alex.kogan@oracle.com

Oracle Labs Burlington, MA, USA dave.dice@oracle.com

Abstract

Range locks are a synchronization construct designed to provide concurrent access to multiple threads (or processes) to disjoint parts of a shared resource. Originally conceived in the file system context, range locks are gaining increasing nterest in the Linux kernel community seeking to alleviate

bottlenecks in the virtual memory management subsystem The existing implementation of range locks in the kernel. however, uses an internal spin lock to protect the underlying tree structure that keeps track of acquired and requested ranges. This spin lock becomes a point of contention on its own when the range lock is frequently acquired. Furthermore, where and exactly how specific (refined) ranges can be locked remains an open question.

In this paper, we make two independent, but related contributions. First, we propose an alternative approach for building range locks based on linked lists. The lists are easy to maintain in a lock-less fashion, and in fact, our range locks do not use any internal locks in the common case. Second, we show how the range of the lock can be refined in the sprotect operation through a speculative mechanism. This refinement, in turn, allows concurrent execution of mprotect operations on non-overlapping memory regions. We implement our new algorithms and demonstrate their effectiveness in user-space and kernel-space, achieving up to 9× speedup compared to the stock version of the Linux kernel. Beyond the virtual memory management subsystem, we discuss other applications of range locks in parallel software. As a concrete example, we show how range locks can be used to facilitate the design of scalable concurrent data structures, such as skip lists.

Work was done while the author was an intern at Oracle Labs.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to edistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org. Euro5ys '20, April 27−30, 2020, Heraklion, Greece

© 2020 Association for Computing Machinery. ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-6882-7/20/04...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/3342195.3387533

Shady Issa* U. Lisboa & INESC-ID Lisbon, Portugal shadi.issa@tecnico.ulisboa.p

CCS Concepts • Theory of computation \rightarrow Concurrency • Computer systems organization \rightarrow Multicore architectures: • Software and its engineering → Mutual exclusion; Concurrency control; Virtual memory;

Keywords reader-writer locks, semaphores, scalable syn chronization, lock-less, Linux kernel, parallel file systems

ACM Reference Format:

Alex Kogan, Dave Dice, and Shady Issa. 2020. Scalable Range Locks for Scalable Address Spaces and Beyond. In Fifteenth European Conference on Computer Systems (EuroSys' 20), April 27–30, 2020, Heraklion, Greece. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 15 pages. https://doi. org/10.1145/3342195.3387533

1 Introduction

Range locks are a synchronization construct designed to pro vide concurrent access to multiple threads (or processes) to disjoint parts of a shared resource. Originally, range locks were conceived in the context of file systems [2], to address scenarios in which multiple writers would want to write into different parts of the same file. A conventional approach of using a single file lock to mediate the access among those writers creates a synchronization bottleneck. Range locks, however, allow each writer to specify (i.e., lock) the part of the file it is going to update, thus allowing serialization be tween writers accessing the same part of the file, but parallel access for writers working on different parts.

In recent years, there has been a surge of interest in range locks in a different context. Specifically, the Linux kernel community considers using range locks to address contention on mmap_sem [13], which is "one of the most intractable contention points in the memory-management subsystem" [9]. mmap_sem is a reader-writer semaphore protecting the access to the virtual memory area (VMA) structures. VMA represents a distinct and contiguous region in the virtual address space of an application; all VMA structures are organized as a red-black tree (mm_rb) [6]. The mmap_sem semaphore is acquired by any virtual memory-related operation, such as mapping, unmapping and mprotecting memory region and handling page fault interrupts. As a result, for data intensive applications that operate on chunks of dynamically allocated memory, the contention on the semaphore becomes a significant bottleneck [6, 9, 11].

The existing implementation of range locks in the Linux kernel is relatively straightforward. It uses a range tree

Conclusion

- Scalable linked list-based Range Locks
- New speculative approach for the Linux VM
- Using Range Locks for concurrent data structures